LETTER TO THE EDITOR



Uncertainties on the prognostic value of D-dimers in COVID-19 patients

We read with great interest the paper by Zhang and colleagues¹ describing the predictive value of D-dimers tested on admission on in-hospital mortality in patients with Covid-19. These interesting results may supply an easy-to practice laboratory marker to clinical teams managing the patients. However, a number of uncertainties must be mentioned.

First, this is a purely retrospective study, focused on patients who had a D-dimer testing at admission. It was not stated why these peculiar patients had D-dimer testing. The total number of patients from which this studied subgroup was selected is not given. A selection bias is thus likely.

Second, if we consider, despite the methodological limitations mentioned, that the available data constitute a kind of derivation cohort, a prospective validation cohort, systematically including all patients entering hospital, is lacking.

Third, the impact of the modalities and intensities of the antithrombotic/anticoagulant treatments given to the patients on the D-dimer predictive value is not studied.

Fourth, nothing is said about the putative predictive value of the variations, day after day, of the D-dimer levels during hospital stay on the vital prognosis. We also do not know if the area under the D-dimer level curve obtained day after day is by itself a prognostic marker.

Fifth, the accuracy of the D-dimer predictive value capacity on mortality is not clearly studied according to the time of death, whether very early, early, or late; for example, depending on the week after admission. Many additional complications can arise in these patients that, over time, make the plausibility of an initial short half-life marker to predict death less likely. In the same way, computed positive predictive and negative predictive values of the proposed D-dimer threshold level would have added some interesting information.

Sixth, as suggested by the authors, this is a purely univariate analysis, a multivariate analysis is strongly lacking, and we do not know the impact of confounders (some laboratory markers being also strongly associated with prognosis in the paper) on the claimed strong predictive value of D-dimers.

The authors have to be congratulated for their very initial data, which now have to be consolidated using strong methodological approaches. This has been difficult in the emergency of such an outbreak situation, but must now be prioritized. The underlying meaning of increased D-dimer levels in Covid-19 patients must be clearly understood, the prevailing interpretation has been coagulation activation finally leading to disseminated intravascular coagulation, which is probably true in the most severe patients and near-fatal outcome but that have yet to be demonstrated in the initial disease despite striking high D-dimer levels. This has strong clinical consequences, as the observed high D-dimer levels have induced spontaneous therapeutic interventions and experts' recommendations increasing the antithrombotic/anticoagulant dosages, thus increasing the hemorrhagic risk. The mechanisms, determinants, roots, and independent value of increased D-dimers in Covid-19 patients must be fully understood to propose the most pathophysiologically relevant treatments to test.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

All authors declare having no competing conflicts of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed to the writing of this letter and approved its final version.

> Jean-Christophe Gris^{1,2,3} Isabelle Quéré⁴ Antonia Pérez-Martin⁵ Jean-Yves Lefrant⁶ Albert Sotto⁷

¹Department of Haematology, Nîmes University Hospital, Nîmes, France ²University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France ³I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, Russia ⁴Department of Vascular Medicine, Montpellier University

Hospital, Montpellier, France ⁵Department of Vascular Investigations and Vascular Medicine,

Nîmes University Hospital, Nîmes, France

⁶Department of Intensive Care, Nîmes University Hospital, Nîmes, France

Manuscript handled by: David Lillicrap Final decision: David Lillicrap and 26-Apr-2020

© 2020 International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis

J Thromb Haemost, 2020:00:1-2. wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/jth ⁷Department of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, Nîmes University Hospital, Nîmes, France

Correspondence

Jean-Christophe Gris, Consultations et Laboratoire d'Hématologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire, GHU Caremeau, Place du Pr. Robert Debré, F-30029 Nîmes cédex 9, France.

Email: jean.christophe.gris@chu-nimes.fr

ORCID

Jean-Christophe Gris https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9899-9910
Isabelle Quéré https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1492-9764

REFERENCE

1. Zhang L, Yan X, Fan Q, et al. D-dimer levels on admission to predict in-hospital mortality in patients with Covid-19. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2020.Apr 19. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jth.14859